

REMARKS

The Office action of 17 November 2006 (Paper No. 20061113) has been carefully considered.

Claims 1 thru 10 and 12 thru 17 are being amended. Thus, claims 1 thru 10 and 12 thru 17 are pending in the application.

It is noted that Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement and PTO-1449 filed on 18 August 2003 have not been entered and the references cited therein have not been considered by the Examiner. In view of the fact that the Information Disclosure Statement filed on 18 August 2003 is in full compliance with 37 C.F.R. §§1.97 and 1.98, entry of the Information Disclosure Statement and PTO-1449 filed on 18 August 2003 and consideration of the references cited therein are respectfully requested.

In paragraph 2 of the Office action, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 4, 6 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. §103 for alleged unpatentability over Shimbori, U.S. Patent No. 6,591,101 in view of Oshigiri, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0014584 and further in view of Watson *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,212,382. In paragraph 3 of the Office action, the Examiner rejected claims 2, 3, 8, 12, 13 and 15 thru 17 under 35 U.S.C. §103 for alleged unpatentability over Shimbori '101 in view of Oshigiri '584, Watson *et al.* '382, Stephens, U.S. Patent No. 6,256,503 and Fitch *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,424,840. In paragraph 4 of the Office action, the Examiner rejected claims 5 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. §103 for alleged unpatentability over Shimbori '101 in view of Oshigiri '584, Watson *et al.* '382 and Fitch *et al.* '840. In paragraph 5 of the Office action, the Examiner rejected

claims 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 for alleged unpatentability over Shimbori '101 in view of Garceran *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,522,888, Fitch *et al.* '840, Giniger *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,199,045 and Watson *et al.* '382. For the reasons stated below, it is submitted that the invention recited in the claims, as now amended, is distinguishable from the prior art cited by the Examiner so as to preclude rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103.

The present invention comprises a technique and system for providing information as to the location of a private wireless network. The present invention is able to more efficiently track the location as follows. For example, the base station controller confirms a registered location by dummy paging and updating location information stored in a location register when the mobile station keeps an idle state for a certain time. Then, the location information in the register is updated using the confirmed location information. The location number includes a private base transceiver station number, a sector number, and a repeater number, where a service zone of a repeater is divided into a plurality of sector zones. The numbers form the foundation for determining the location of a mobile station as a unit of a repeater because a conventional public land mobile network (PLMN) tracks location as units of the base station controller. The dummy paging is similar to ordinary paging except that it is performed with regard to any mobile station that keeps up an idle state during a certain period so as to confirm whether the relevant mobile station is inside or outside the private wireless network. If the mobile station is inside the private wireless network, the pBTS number, sector number and repeater number correspond to the location of the mobile station, and location information of the mobile station keeping up the idle state can be managed in real time. The client may

accommodate the display of the location of the mobile station on a screen. The mode wherein the client receives the location information from the server can be divided into when the location registration of the mobile station is executed, where a message inquiring about the subscriber state is periodically transmitted to the server, and where a message inquiring about an appointed subscriber state is periodically transmitted to the server.

The primary reference newly cited by the Examiner is Shimbori, U.S. Patent No. 6,591,101.

Shimbori '101 relates to a method of subscriber data control in a mobile communication network where subscriber data is transferred from a home mobile switching center to a destination mobile switching center. The subscriber data control method reduces the processing load on a mobile switching center and can effectively use the resource of a visitor subscriber data area. The subscriber data of the visitor mobile station is not deleted in response to a subscriber data deletion request from its home mobile switching center due to the fact that the visitor mobile station has further moved to another service area, and the state of the registered subscriber data of the visitor mobile station is set to an inactive holding state from the active state. Thereafter, in the case where the visitor mobile station returns to the subject service area within a predetermined period of time and again performs the location registration, restoration of the subscriber data of the visitor mobile station can be made by only changing the state of the subscriber data from the inactive state to the active state. With respect to the subscriber data being set to the inactive holding state, it is deleted if no location

registration is made within a predetermined period of time.

The secondary references cited by the Examiner are Oshigiri, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0014584, Watson *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,212,382, Stephens, U.S. Patent No. 6,256,503, Fitch *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,424,840, Garceran *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,522,888 and Giniger *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,199,045.

Oshigiri '584 relates to a wireless local loop access network system having a base station connected to a base station controller which is, in turn, connected to a public switched telephone network, and a memory for storing subscriber data which is readable by the base station controller. The memory stores an identifier to identify a subscriber in an interface protocol between the wireless loop access network and the public switched telephone network. Another identifier includes identification of a subscriber in a radio signal interface protocol in the loop access network. The memory also stores the data with regard to the correspondence between the two identifiers.

Watson *at el.* '382 relates to a technique for handover of a mobile station between multiple layers in a system including a macrocell in a first layer and microcells in a second layer. The mobile station is sent to a neighbor list identifying cells associated with the layer to which the mobile station has been handed over. The neighbor list includes different cells depending on the layer to which the mobile station is handed over. The neighbor list does not include neighbor cells belonging to a non-preferred layer.

Stephens '503 discloses a technique for restricting communication based on the

location of an originator and terminators within a network. The location of the originator is compared to that of each of the possible terminators. Based on the proximity of the location, one of the terminators is selected.

Fitch *et al.* '840 discloses a technique for dynamic location based zone assignment in a wireless system. The location of the mobile station relative to the number of network zones is used to accommodate the location process. A comparison can be made, for example, between two different operating zone definitions to accommodate the location.

Garceran *et al.* '888 relates to a technique for ascertaining coverage of a wireless communication system for a certain geographical area. The current location of a mobile station, identification of the mobile station, and measurement of the signal between the mobile station and a base station are determined and stored. The stored information is then processed to provide the radio frequency coverage database for the certain geographical area, and then the radio frequency parameters are modified for the identified mobile stations according to the radio frequency coverage database.

Giniger *et al.* '045 provides the location of a mobile station for a user by using, for example, global positioning satellites and a central site server. A wireless bidirection communication is set up between the mobile station and the central site server which accommodates the receiving of response information from the central site server and the enabling of contents to be provided to the mobile station upon initiation by the user.

The claimed invention is distinguishable from the cited prior art in the following

respects.

Contrary to the assertion by the Examiner in paragraph 2 on page 2 of the Office action, Shimbori '101 does not specifically disclose a visitor location register.

That is to say, column 2, lines 17-27 of Shimbori '101 does not mention or suggest a visitor location register as recited in the claims of the present application. The most that is mentioned in column 2, lines 17-27 of Shimbori '101 is the fact that, upon entering a service area A, the mobile station MS4 receives a location registration area number announced by the base station constituting the service area A and discriminates that the location registration area number is not identical with that stored in the self mobile station. Thus, whereas it is stated that the "self mobile station" has stored therein a number to which the location registration area number is compared, there is no mention or suggestion in the portion of Shimbori '101 cited by the Examiner of a visitor location register forming a part of a wireless network system in which location information relating to a wireless network location of a mobile station is stored, as recited in the claims of the present application.

Contrary to the assertion by the Examiner in paragraph 2 on page 2 of the Office action, Shimbori '101 does not disclose confirming a location of a mobile station and updating the location information stored in a visitor location register when the mobile station maintains an idle state for a certain period of time. Rather, in the portion cited by the Examiner (column 10, lines 23-42 and column 16, line 63-column 17, line 10 of Shimbori '101), Shimbori '101 only discloses setting a flag to "inactive" if no

registration is received from a mobile unit within a certain period of time.

Furthermore, contrary to the assertion by the Examiner on page 3, lines 9-10 of the Office action, Watson *at el.* '382 does not teach confirming a location of a mobile station by dummy paging. In fact, "dummy paging" is not disclosed per se. That is, Watson *at el.* '382 merely discloses a signaling message sent by a mobile station. Furthermore, the message is not sent by a base station controller, but rather it is sent by a mobile station to a base station when the mobile station is idle (that is, waiting to send or receive a call).

In addition, contrary to the assertion by the Examiner in paragraph 3 on page 3 of the Office action, Shimbori '101 does not disclose or mention, in its Abstract, the use of at least one repeater (or any repeaters) installed in sector zones of a private base transceiver station (or in any part of the system disclosed in Shimbori '101).

At the top of page 4 of the Office action, the Examiner again alleges that Shimbori '101 discloses a visitor location register in which location information relating to a private wireless network location of a mobile station is stored, again citing column 2, lines 17-27 of the patent. However, as stated above, the portion of Shimbori '101 cited by the Examiner does not disclose or suggest a visitor location register forming a part of the wireless network system recited in the claims of the present application.

At page 5, lines 9-10 of the Office action, the Examiner alleges that Stephens '503 "teaches a server inquiring about the location information of the mobile station stored in said visitor location register (see column 13, lines 40-48)" (quoting from page 5, lines 9-

10 of the Office action). On the contrary, Stephens '503 does not disclose a server performing that function. Rather a home location register (HLR) 246 is said to be "responsible for providing the subscription information, for querying service machine 248 for instructions, and for requesting that VLR 250 in association with MSC-S 252 provide the geographic location of the mobile cellular telephone" (quoting from column 13, lines 40-44 of Stephens '503).

Contrary to the assertion by the Examiner at page 5, lines 19-20 of the Office action, Fitch *et al.* '840 does not disclose location information which includes a base transceiver station number or a repeater number. Only a sector number is disclosed as being included in location information.

Contrary to the assertion by the Examiner at page 6, lines 3-8 of the Office action, Oshigiri '584 does not disclose transmission to a client of location information received from a private base station controller. Rather, Oshigiri '584 only teaches (in paragraph [0027]) transmission of registration information to a base station controller followed by storage thereof by the base station controller.

At page 6, lines 9-14 of the Office action, the Examiner admits that "the combination of Shimbori, Oshigiri, Watson, Stephens and Fitch does not specifically disclose the server being connected to said base station controller through a local area network and the plurality of repeaters being connected to the private base transceiver station, with the private base transceiver station being connected to said private base station controller" (quoting from page 6, lines 9-13 of the Office action). However, the

Examiner takes “Official notice that such feature as recited is very well known in the art” (quoting from page 6, line 14 of the Office action). However, the Examiner does not present any evidence in support of the “Official notice”, and thus this amounts to the mere expression of an opinion on the part of the Examiner, unsupported by reference to any prior patent or publication.

In paragraph 4 on page 7 of the Office action, with respect to claims 5 and 7, the Examiner alleges that “the combination of Shimbori, Oshigiri and Watson teaches claims 4 and 6” (quoting from page 7, lines 13-14 of the Office action). However, this argument has been refuted above, and the Examiner is respectfully referred to the arguments set forth above.

The Examiner then admits that the “combination of Shimbori, Oshigiri and Watson [does] not specifically disclose [that] the location information includes at least one of a base transceiver station number, a sector number and a repeater number” (quoting from page 7, lines 14-16 of the Office action). The Examiner then cites Fitch *et al.* ‘840. However, column 7, lines 8-10 of Fitch *et al.* ‘840 (cited by the Examiner) does not disclose or suggest location information including a base transceiver station number or a repeater number.

Finally, contrary to the assertion by the Examiner at page 8, lines 16-17 of the Office action, Garceran *et al.* ‘888 does not disclose periodic transmission to a server of a message requesting an inquiry about a mobile station subscriber’s state. Rather, the cited portion of Garceran *et al.* ‘888 only discloses a server requesting, receiving and


determining a unit's position.

On pages 9-11 of the Office action, the Examiner discusses the rejection of claims 10 and 14, and repeats many of the arguments set forth earlier in the Office action with respect to the other claims. These arguments have been discussed and opposed above, and the counter-arguments set forth above are hereby incorporated by reference thereto.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the claims of this application are in condition for allowance, and early issuance thereof is solicited. Should any questions remain unresolved, the Examiner is requested to telephone Applicant's attorney.

No fee is incurred by this Amendment.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert E. Bushnell,
Attorney for the Applicant
Registration No.: 27,774

1522 "K" Street N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 408-9040

Folio: P56843
Date: 2/20/07
I.D.: REB/JGS